

January 27, 1975

and we were all ready for the vote.

SENATOR SYAS: OK. I still wanted to ask him a question on consistency.

PRESIDENT: Alright, do you want to ask Senator Moylan a question? He is the mover.

SENATOR SYAS: No.

PRESIDENT: Do you want to ask anyone else, Senator, so long as it's a factual question?

SENATOR SYAS: Well it's relative, but it isn't . . . I wanted to ask Senator DeCamp a question. He has stated that he does not believe anyone should be required to pay money to, in effect, against his will. He's made a big debate about that. I hope then, Senator, you oppose the mandatory consideration of paying money without your consent, or with your consent, which you have no way out of getting out of - to the Bar Association?

PRESIDENT: That -- Senator that is completely beside the point and it's a lot of fun, but that -- had I known the content of that question I would have denied your right to ask the question. Are you ready for the -- I'm going to rule that question out of order Senator. Are we ready for the question? Shall 126 advance to E & R initial? Record your vote. Have you voted? Please record.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 13 nays, 8 not voting.

PRESIDENT: 126 is advanced to E & R initial.

CLERK: Senator Kennedy asks unanimous consent to add his name to LB 433. It is a committee bill and can properly be done.

PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? Mr. Clerk, that does not require a vote then?

CLERK: Not on this one, it's a committee bill.

PRESIDENT: Alright. It shall be done.

CLERK: Mr. President, I move for a suspension of the Rules to cancel a hearing on LB 268 set for January 29th and have it reset for February 7th. Signed, Richard Lewis.

PRESIDENT: Is there any discussion on this? Senator Richard Lewis, do you care to be heard on this?

SENATOR R. LEWIS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I think probably there is some explanation due. This is a bill dealing with the public power in the State of Nebraska. It's a bill that a lot of people have a tremendous interest in. The bill was set for hearing just the bare minimum of seven days after it was printed in the Journal. The printers were, as we all know, far behind. The bill only became available Friday, late. So, many many people have objected to having the hearing set this soon before they've had a chance to review the bill. I would like to ask for a suspension of